BACKED ON APPEAL C.I.A.'S CENSORSHIP

Ruling Made on Article Highly Critical of Agency Activity

of material it classified "secret" in the article Mr. McGebe had submitted for review, the appeals court said the classification system's definition of "secret" information satisfied the First Ameriment it said that judges in soch cases "should defer to C.A. Judgment as to the harmful results of publication," but should satisfy themselves "that the C.I.A. in fact had good peason "that the C.I.A. in fact had good peason" that the C.I.A. in fact had good peason "that the C.I.A. in fact had good peason" The decision may bolister the legal underpruning of the Reagan Administration policy requiring all Govern-tration policy requiring all Govern-

By STUART TAYLOR Jr.

272

WASHINGTON, Oct. 4 — A Federal appeals our today updated the Central intelligence. Agency's consorable of editors of highly critical article by a former agent concerning alleged C.I.A. activities in Iran, I alin America and

The three-judge panel ruled unanimously but "the C.I.A. desaitication and censorship scheme protects critical networks." It said the agency's action was constitutional as applied to the article by Raiph W. McGebee, the former agent A consord version was published in The Namentary, in April 1881.

One judge however, expressed concern that Reagan Administration compility and current law did not give enough weight to "the public" right to remove the consoling and did not give enough weight to "the public" right to remove the result of the public and public and consoling and course law did not give enough weight to "the public" right to remove the result of the public and public and consoling and public and publicant public and public

telligence agency.
In another development today, the Senate Intelligence Committee unani-

Continued on Page A6, Column I

mously approved a bill to exempt certain C.I.A. files from search and review under the Freedom of Information Act, including files that involve Continued From Page A1

over action, counter-litteligence and mithortecture and mith-technology spying devices.

The bill represents an unusual compromise between the agency, which had originally sought countier exemption from the act, and such opponents of Government secrets as the American Civil Liberties Union. The agency's documents outside the specified ex-

emptions would remain subject to the Freedom of informations. The decision by the United State The decision by the United State The decision by the United State Octavity of State S

In upholding the agency's censorship

ment officials with access to high-level classified unformation to sign agreements, burding for the rest of their lives, to clear anything they write for publication in advance with the Government. The Reagan policy, adopted earlier this year, was not directly at issue in he case.

Judge Pauricia M. Waid, the author of the court's 2-page golden, a don't be controlled to the court's 2-page golden and policy and "current constraints on our authority" of not "take account of any separate public right to know critical ableit classified facts about the activities of our intelligence agencies.

The suggested it was unfortunate that President Reagan had revoked a provision in an esecutive order by for-

mer President Carter that called for declassification of information when the public interest in disclosure outweighed damage to national security.

Mr. McCebbe, unitse Mr. Snepp. compiled with his secrety agreement by submitting his article for pre-publication reason review. The article and the intelligence agency had used forgery and deceit to discredit popular revolts in El Sanadon, indonesia and Chile as Communs plots.

After publishing the consored version of his article, Mr. McGehee auditor C.I.A. Director, William J. Casey, arguing the agency's Classification and censorship method violated his First Amendment right to freedom of speech and that the definition of secret was too broad and too vague.